EVEN SOME BUSINESS LEADERS WHO NORMALLY CRINGE AT THE
THOUGHT OF GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION OR REGULATION
FIND THEMSELVES CALLING FOR A SYSTEM OF NATIONAL
HEALTH CARE AS A SOLUTION TO RISING INSURANCE COSTS.



A SURPRISING AND VERY SIGNIFICANT EVENT TOOK PLACE AT THE BEGINNING OF LAST SUMMER.

TWO GROUPS, UNLIKELY PARTNERS IN THIS SORT OF ISSUE,

EACH CALLED FOR A NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE.

THE FIRST WAS ONE OF THE MAJOR AUTOMOBILE

MANUFACTURERS,

AND THE OTHER WAS <u>THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION</u>, A MOST CONSERVATIVE BODY.

RECENTLY I'VE NOTICED A STRANGE INTEREST IN THE CANADIAN SYSTEM.

EVERYWHERE I GO PEOPLE SAY TO ME, "WE NEED THE CANADIAN SYSTEM." SO I SAY, "TELL ME, WHAT IS IT YOU LIKE ABOUT THE CANADIAN SYSTEM.?"

THEY ALWAYS ANSWER, "I DON'T REALLY KNOW, BUT IT'S A GOOD SYSTEM."

THE GROWING INFATUATION WITH FOREIGN NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICES IS BASED MORE UPON DISSATISFACTION WITH OUR SYSTEM THAN UPON UNDERSTANDING OF ANOTHER ONE.

MOST AMERICANS DO NOT REALIZE THAT ANY NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE, IS BASED UPON PLANNED SCARCITY.

EXPERIENCE THE WORLD OVER HAS SHOWN THAT WHEN
GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC CONTROLS ARE APPLIED TO HEALTH,
THEY PROVE --IN TIME-- TO BE DETRIMENTAL. EVENTUALLY
THERE IS AN EROSION OF QUALITY, PRODUCTIVITY, INNOVATION,
AND CREATIVITY. THIS IS ESPECIALLY TRUE OF RESEARCH.
THEN, LACK OF RESPONSIVENESS TO PATIENTS. FINALLY,
RATIONING AND WAITING IN LINES.

AMERICANS DO NOT PATIENTLY QUE UP FOR ANYTHING, ESPECIALLY FOR MEDICAL CARE.

THE MAJORITY HAS BECOME ACCUSTOMED TO AVAILABLE CARE, IF NOT ACCESSIBLE CARE.

AND WE DESIRE PERSONAL CARE.

NOW, IT MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE THE SAME PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DOCTORS AND PATIENTS THAT OUR GRANDPARENTS HAD.

I STUDIED THE CAN. SYSTEM IN TRE MY
TV. SERIES

1 CAN PASSICION ON 17 7H) WAS

worked for the National Health Sence in England for I years and name hear became I thought the Can system in better. Now the CK NHS is a shamble, and the Can system is crumbling and following in its footstyr. When read you amount ! look at the Canada system.

TODAY, URBAN PEOPLE, ESPECIALLY, RELY UPON EMERGENCY
ROOM CARE AND GROUP PRACTICES, AND THE EFFICIENCY THEY
BRING HAVE COME AT THE COST OF THAT PERSONAL
RELATIONSHIP.

BUT, WE CAN DO A LOT TO RESTORE THE DOCTOR-PATIENT
RELATIONSHIP, A RELATIONSHIP THAT IS UNFORTUNATELY
BECOMING CHANGED TO A PROVIDER-CONSUMER RELATIONSHIP.

I REALIZE THAT THERE ARE SOME BUILT-IN PROBLEMS. PEOPLE AREN'T HAPPY ABOUT BEING ILL, NEEDING TO GO TO A PHYSICIAN.

HAVING TO PAY A HIGH PRICE FOR IT MAKES IT EVEN MORE UNPLEASANT.

BUT WE NEED TO SUBORDINATE THE ECONOMIC ASPECT OF THE RELATIONSHIP TO THE CLIMATE OF TRUST BETWEEN THE DOCTOR AND THE PATIENT.

IF THE PATIENT THINKS OF HIMSELF PRIMARILY AS A CONSUMER, GETTING THE MOST FOR HIS MONEY, SHOPPING AROUND FOR A DOCTOR WHO CHARGES \$5 LESS FOR AN OFFICE VISIT, HE AUTOMATICALLY PUTS THE DOCTOR IN THE ROLE OF THE SELLER, GETTING THE MOST FOR HIS SERVICES.

IF THE DOCTOR IS PRIMARILY CONCERNED ABOUT COLLECTING
HIS FEE, HE AUTOMATICALLY AROUSES THE CONSUMER
MENTALITY IN HIS PATIENT. WE CAN'T HAVE PATIENTS
WONDERING IF THEIR TREATMENT IS DETERMINED BY THE
DOCTORS FINANCES.

WE ALSO NEED TO REFORM THE MALPRACTICE MESS, THE

TORTURED TORT SYSTEM THAT FORCES DOCTORS AND PATIENTS

TO VIEW EACH OTHER AS LEGAL ADVERSARIES. WE CAN'T HAVE

DOCTORS WONDERING IF THEY'LL NEXT SEE THEIR PATIENTS IN

COURT, FLANKED BY THEIR LAWYERS.

WE NEED TO GET PAST THE STAND-OFF BETWEEN DOCTORS AND LAWYERS.

I'M SURE THAT BOTH THE DOCTOR AND THE PATIENT WOULD

PREFER TO HAVE THAT OLD RELATIONSHIP OF TRUST THEY USED

TO HAVE.

IT <u>CAN</u> BE RESTORED.

BUT IT WILL TAKE COMMITMENT BY PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STETHOSCOPE.

BUT IF WE DON'T OFFER SOMETHING BETTER, WE WILL GET A
GOVERNMENT CONTROLLED MEDICAL SYSTEM, AND LOSE
FOREVER THE PRESENT <u>POTENTIAL</u> FOR THE BEST SYSTEM
POSSIBLE.

THE FALLACY OF ECONOMIC CONTROLS IS THAT THEY ATTEMPT
TO FORCE CHANGE AND REORGANIZATION AGAINST THE WILL OF
THOSE PROVIDING HEALTH CARE. IT IS NOT IN THEIR INTEREST,
AS THEY SEE IT, BECAUSE THE MORE INEFFICIENT PROVIDER,
THE MORE REVENUE, REGARDLESS OF HEALTH PRODUCED, OR
NOT PRODUCED.

WE ARE IN A PERIOD OF TIGHT FINANCIAL CONSTRAINTS, AND IF
YOU READ THE LIPS OF THE PRESIDENT -- NO NEW TAXES.

IF THAT WERE NOT SO, I THINK WE'D HAVE A GOVERNMENT-CONTROLLED NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE ALMOST IMMEDIATELY. THAT WOULD SEEM MARVELOUS AT THE BEGINNING, BUT DISSATISFACTION WOULD COME UNTIL YOU COULDN'T WAIT TO CHANGE IT AGAIN.

THERE IS A BETTER WAY, AND IT PREVENTS THE FURTHER INTRUSION OF THE GOVERNMENT INTO THE DELIVERY OF HEALTH CARE.

A MARKET-BASED STRATEGY MUST ADDRESS THE FORCES

DRIVING COSTS UPWARD WHILE AT THE SAME TIME ATTACKING

BARRIERS TO ACCESS.

WE HAVE THE PARADOX OF TOO MUCH CARE AND TOO LITTLE CARE FOR DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF SOCIETY AT THE SAME TIME. AS HIGH-TECH MEDICINE GROWS OUT OF CONTROL, UNBRIDLED BY INFORMED PURCHASERS, MANY PEOPLE ARE DENIED BASIC PREVENTIVE AND PRIMARY CARE.

alpha Health Netrol

Japane Junly - Costs zo Loan

I visited one hopital

Lost year 8.120 over premi zon

His year 3 9 2 less den green year

TWO THIRDS OF OUR POPULATION - ABOUT 160 MILLION

AMERICANS ARE COVERED BY EMPLOYER-PURCHASED HEALTH

INSURANCE. EMPLOYERS AND WORKERS TOGETHER MUST

IDENTIFY THE LEADERSHIP TO BRING HEALTHCARE COST UNDER

CONTROL.

SUCH A NATIONAL ALLIANCE HAS BEEN FORMED AND IS
GROWING. AS THIS REFORM IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS TAKING
PLACE THERE MUST BE FURTHER JOINING OF FORCES WITH
GOVERNMENT - AT FEDERAL AND STATE LEVELS - WHERE
MEDICARE AND MEDICAID ARE ADMINISTERED, IF WE ARE TO
RESTRUCTURE THE ENTIRE SYSTEM OF PURCHASING AND
PROVIDING HEALTHCARE.

 \leftarrow

NOT LONG AGO A COALITION OF BIG BUSINESS AND LABOR

UNIONS FORMED TO ADDRESS THIS PROBLEM.

I THINK THAT THIS IS THE WRONG COALITION.

THAT IS HOW WE GOT TO OUR CURRENT PROBLEMS OF

PROFLIGACY AND POOR CARE.

WORKERS WANT QUALITY HEALTH CARE, NOT A NATIONAL

HEALTH SERVICE.

EMPLOYERS WANT TO FURTHER ESCALATION OF HEALTH COSTS,

NOT A NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE.

THE COALITION THAT NEEDS TO BE FORMED COMBINES

BUSINESS AND ORGANIZED HEALTH CARE. TOGETHER THEY CAN

FORGE THE ALLIANCE THAT REWARDS HIGH QUALITY AND HIGH

EFFICIENCY WITH MORE PATIENTS, RATHER THAN REWARDING

POOR QUALITY CARE WITH DOLLARS AS WE DO NOW.

BUSINESS AND MEDICINE HAVE THE MOST TO LOSE: POOR

QUALITY MARRIED TO GOVERNMENT CONTROL.

WE NEED TO COMMUNICATE BETTER ABOUT HIGH-QUALITY AND EFFICIENT CARE. THEN THE PATIENTS WILL COME FROM THE POOR QUALITY, INEFFICIENT SYSTEMS WHICH WILL HAVE TO IMPROVE OR PERISH.

WE WILL NEED - AND THEY ARE BEING DEVELOPED - TOOLS TO MEASURE MEDICAL NECESSITY, APPROPRIATENESS,

EFFECTIVENESS AND OF COURSE OUTCOMES. QUALITY, AND EFFICIENCY ARE DIFFICULT IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE TO MEASURE.

BUT THEY ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN MERE QUANTITY.

FOR THOSE WITHOUT ACCESS, THE GOAL IS UNIVERSAL

COVERAGE TO BE ACHIEVED THROUGH COMPREHENSIVE

REFORMS OF GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS FOR THE POOR AND

UNINSURED COMBINED WITH RISK POOLING. MEANWHILE

INTERIM STEPS INCLUDE MEDICAID EXPANSION, UNDER

EXISTING LAW, AND TAX INCENTIVES TO ENCOURAGE SMALL

BUSINESS INSURANCE COVERAGE. THESE LATTER ELEMENTS

ARE THE ONLY ONES THAT REQUIRE PUBLIC POLICY REFORMS.

ONE WAY TO GET THINGS MOVING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION IS THROUGH A PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION.

I URGED THIS IN A PRIVATE CONVERSATION WITH THE PRESIDENT IN AUGUST 1988, SEVERAL MONTHS BEFORE HIS ELECTION,

AND I'VE MADE THE SAME SUGGESTION IN EDITORIALS IN NEWSWEEK AND FROM MANY PLATFORMS AROUND THE COUNTRY.

THIS IS THE BEST WAY TO GET ACTION, BECAUSE THE

CONGRESSIONAL MEMBERS OF A PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION

WILL TAKE THE PLANS BACK TO CONGRESS FOR DISCUSSION, A

VOTE, AND THEN IMPLEMENTATION.

THE TIME IS SHORT.

THE STAKES ARE HIGH.

THE ALTERNATIVES UNDESIRABLE.

IT REMAINS TO BE SEEN WHETHER OR NOT THE PRIVATE SECTOR SEIZES THIS ONE AND ONLY OPPORTUNITY, WE'LL SEE.

WE ALL NEED TO BE A PART OF THE EFFORT.

BUT THERE IS NO QUICK FIX.

FROM HERE TO THERE COULD TAKE A DECADE, BUT WE'D IMPROVE YEAR BY YEAR ALONG THE WAY.

choose: prevention ending, or international health ending, or both

IN THE MEANTIME, EVERYDAY, ALL OF US WHO ARE PART OF THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, ALL OF US WHO ARE PART OF AMERICAN SOCIETY FIND OURSELVES IN THE MIDST OF A GREAT REVOLUTION.

THIS REVOLUTION IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE NEEDED REVOLUTION IN THE STRUCTURE OF HEALTH CARE OR IN THE FINANCING OF HEALTH CARE.

THIS REVOLUTION CHANGES EVERYDAY INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOR.

YOU ARE A PART OF THAT REVOLUTION, AND YOU'LL IMPROVE
THE HEALTH OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE --AS WELL AS YOUR
OWN HEALTH-- IF YOU PLAY YOUR PART.

TWO CONCEPTS FORM THE BASIS FOR THIS REVOLUTION.

FIRST, YOUR HEALTH AND THE HEALTH OF THOSE WHO COME TO YOU PROFESSIONALLY WILL DEPEND MOSTLY UPON THE PREVENTION OF DISEASE AND DISABILITY AND THE PROMOTION OF GOOD HEALTH.